

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations
and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,
please do not report the images to the
Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/817,224	03/27/2001	Kaoru Shimamura	122.1448	7933
21171	7590	07/21/2004	EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			HUTTON JR, WILLIAM D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2179	

DATE MAILED: 07/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/817,224

Applicant(s)

SHIMAMURA, KAORU

Examiner

Doug Hutton

Art Unit

2179

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 December 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 27 March 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/21/01.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) is acknowledged. The papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it exceeds 150 words in length. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Applicant's invention is difficult to determine and understand because the specification appears to be a straight translation of a Japanese patent application. A substitute specification in proper idiomatic English and in compliance with 37 CFR 1.52(a) and (b) is required. The substitute specification filed must be accompanied by a statement that it contains no new matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 9:

Claim 1 recites the limitation "a display unit displaying an external-character code registration screen *based on an external-character code table*" in Lines 4-6. Claim 9 recites the same limitation in Lines 6-8.

This limitation is indefinite because it is unclear how the "external-character code registration screen" is "**based**" on an "external-character code table." The "registration screen" appears to be an interactive user interface that is used to register "external characters" by associating "master codes" and "font codes." Thus, the "external-character code registration screen" is not "based" on an "external-character code table."

The limitation should be amended to accurately describe Applicant's invention.

Claims 2 and 10:

Claim 2 recites the limitation "a font selection screen selecting an external-character font of an external-character file" in Lines 4-5. Claim 10 recites the same limitation in Lines 5-7.

This limitation is indefinite because it is unclear how the "screen" "selects" an external-character font. Rather, the user uses the screen to register "external characters" by associating "master codes" and "font codes."

This same problem also occurs in Lines 8-9 of Claim 2. Claim 10 recites the same limitation in Lines 7-9.

The limitations should be amended to accurately describe Applicant's invention.

Claim 2 recites the limitations a "font selection screen" in Line 4, a "font selecting section" in Line 8 and an "external-character file creating section" in Lines 11-12. Claim 10 recites the same limitations.

These limitations are indefinite because it is unclear if the "screen" and the "sections" are parts of the "external-character code registration screen" (Claim 1, Lines 4-5) or separate from the "external-character code registration screen."

The limitations should be amended to particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter that the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 3:

Claim 3 recites the limitation a "character pattern reference file definition table defining a master-font file *in which a character pattern defined by the master code is referred to among a plurality of master-font files that are classified into types of designs of external-character fonts*" in Lines 3-8.

This limitation is indefinite because it is unclear how:

- 1) the "master code" "**defines**" a "character pattern an external-character font;" and
- 2) the "character pattern" is "**referred to**" "among a plurality of master-font files."

Art Unit: 2179

As currently worded, the examiner cannot determine what, precisely, the applicant has invented and is seeking to patent, and how the claim relates to and defines that invention.

The claim should be amended to particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter that the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 4:

Claim 4 recites the limitation a "character pattern registering section" in Lines 3-4.

This limitation is indefinite because it is unclear if the "section" is part of the "external-character code registration screen" (Claim 1, Lines 4-5) or separate from the "external-character code registration screen."

The limitation should be amended to particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter that the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 5:

Claim 5 recites the limitation "wherein the external-character code table lists character patterns in place of master codes" in Lines 2-3.

This limitation is indefinite because it is unclear if the "code table" replaces "master codes" with "character patterns" or merely lists the "character patterns" and the corresponding "master codes."

The limitation should be amended to particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter that the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2179

Claim 6:

Claim 6 recites the limitation a "character shape confirmation font file storing character patterns which makes it possible to *confirm character shapes of master codes, as another master font file*" in Lines 3-6.

This limitation is indefinite because it is unclear what is meant by the phrase "confirm character shapes of master codes, as another master font file." The "master code" is merely a number and appears to have no "character shape."

The limitation should be amended to particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter that the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamaguchi et al., Japanese Patent Publication No. 06259426.

Claim 1:

Yamaguchi discloses an external-character font creation system for creating external-character fonts (see Pages 1-68; more specifically, see "Abstract" on Page 1 –

Yamaguchi discloses a character processing system that "creates external-character fonts), the external-character font creation system comprising:

- a display unit (7, Figure 1) displaying an external-character code registration screen (see Page 12, third full paragraph – because the user registers external characters, the character processing system includes an "external-character code registration screen") based on an external-character code table that shows a correspondence between an external-character code of an external character to be registered and a master code (see Figures 8-10; see Page 9, third full paragraph through Page 11, second full paragraph – the character processing system discloses this limitation in that it registers fonts through a user interface by associating external character patterns, external character managing numbers, character codes and face types into a table); and
- an edit unit registering a master code corresponding to an external-character code in the external-character code table (see Figures 8-10; see Page 12, first full paragraph through Page 14, second full paragraph – the character processing system discloses this limitation in that it registers a character code corresponding to an external character managing number in a correlation table).

Claim 2:

Yamaguchi discloses the external-character font creation system according to Claim 1, the external-character font creation system further comprising:

- a font selection screen selecting an external-character font of an external-character file to be created based on a correspondence relationship between a master font and an external-character font (see Page 12, first full paragraph – the character processing system discloses this limitation in that it allows the user to select the face types that are to be registered);
- a font selecting section selecting a plurality of external-character fonts of the external-character file by using the font selection screen (see Page 11, second full paragraph – the character processing system discloses this limitation in that it registers two face types simultaneously); and
- an external-character file creating section creating an external-character file of the external-character font selected by the font selecting section (see Figure 10; see Page 12, first full paragraph through Page 14, second full paragraph – the character processing system discloses this limitation in that it creates a correlation table).

Claim 3:

Yamaguchi discloses the external-character font creation system according to Claim 1, the external-character font creation system further comprising a character pattern reference file definition table defining a master-font file in which a character pattern defined by the master code is referred to among a plurality of master-font files that are classified into types of designs of external-character fonts (see Figures 8 and 9; see Page 10, first and second paragraphs; see Page 11, first full paragraph through

Page 12, first partial paragraph – the character processing system discloses this limitation in that it includes both an external character file that is classified into external characters and symbols and a plurality of master font files).

Claim 4:

Yamaguchi discloses the external-character font creation system according to Claim 1, the external-character font creation system further comprising a character pattern registering section copying a character pattern from a master-font file into an external-character file based on a master code (see Figures 8-11; see Page 9, third full paragraph through Page 11, second full paragraph – the character processing system discloses this limitation in that it registers fonts through a user interface by associating external character patterns, external character managing numbers, character codes and face types into a table).

Claim 5:

Yamaguchi discloses the external-character font creation system according to Claim 1, wherein the external-character code table lists character patterns in place of master codes (see Figures 8-11; see Page 9, third full paragraph through Page 11, second full paragraph; see Page 12, first full paragraph through Page 14, second full paragraph – the character processing system discloses this limitation in that it registers fonts through a user interface by associating external character patterns, external character managing numbers, character codes and face types into a table; thus, the

Art Unit: 2179

“external-character code table” “lists character patterns in place of master codes” in that it associates the external character patterns, external character managing numbers, character codes and face types into a table).

Claim 6:

Yamaguchi discloses the external-character font creation system according to Claim 3, the external-character font creation system further comprising a character shape confirmation font file storing character patterns which makes it possible to confirm character shapes of master codes, as another master font file (see Figures 8-11; see Page 9, third full paragraph through Page 11, second full paragraph; see Page 12, first full paragraph through Page 14, second full paragraph – the character processing system discloses this limitation in that it registers fonts through a user interface by associating external character patterns, external character managing numbers, character codes and face types into a table; thus, the character processing system “makes it possible to confirm character shapes of master codes, as another master font file”).

Claim 7:

Yamaguchi discloses the external-character font creation system according to Claim 3, wherein a master-font file defined within the character pattern reference file definition table is either a master-font file by design type or a symbol master-font file (see Figures 8 and 9; see Page 10, first and second paragraphs; see Page 11, first full

paragraph through Page 12, first partial paragraph – the character processing system discloses this limitation in that it associates both external character files that are classified into external characters and symbols and a plurality of master font files; thus, the character processing system includes “master-font” files having both “design types” and “symbols”).

Claim 8:

Yamaguchi discloses the external-character font creation system according to Claim 3, wherein a master-font file defined within the character pattern reference file definition table is either a master-font file by design type or a master-font file of a specific design (see Figures 8 and 9; see Page 10, first and second paragraphs; see Page 11, first full paragraph through Page 12, first partial paragraph – the character processing system discloses this limitation in that it associates both external character files that are classified into external characters and symbols and a plurality of master font files; thus, the character processing system includes “master-font” files having both “design types” and “specific designs”).

Claims 9 and 10:

These claims merely recite software that performs the functions of the external-character font creation systems of Claims 1 and 2, respectively. Thus, Claims 9 and 10 are rejected using the same rationale discussed in the above rejections for Claims 1 and 2.

Art Unit: 2179

Claims 11 and 12:

These claims merely recite software that performs the functions of the external-character font creation systems of Claim 3. Thus, Claims 11 and 12 are rejected using the same rationale discussed in the above rejections for Claim 3.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Funyu, U.S. Patent No. 6,320,587; Mochizuki, U.S. Patent No. 5,721,812; Ooishi et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,699,524; and Ooishi, U.S. Patent No. 5,802,538.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Doug Hutton whose telephone number is (703) 305-1701. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon, can be reached at (703) 308-5186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 746-7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

WDH
July 17, 2004



**DOUG HUTTON
PATENT EXAMINER
TECH CENTER 2100**